

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/767,637	(01/29/2004	Michael D. Mason	2731/103	5420
2101	7590	01/11/2006		EXAMINER	
BROMBERG & SUNSTEIN LLP				REIMERS, ANNETTE R	
125 SUMMER STREET BOSTON, MA 02110-1618				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2021011, 1	02.1			3733	

DATE MAILED: 01/11/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/767,637	MASON, MICHAEL D.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Annette R. Reimers	3733				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA INSIGN OF THE MAILING DA INSIGN ON THE MAILING DA INSIGN ON THE FROM THE MAILING DA INSIGN OF THE MAILING THE MAILING THE MAILING THE MAILING INSIGN OF THE MAILING THE MAILING THE MAILING INSIGN OF THE MAILING THE MAILING THE MAILING INSIGN OF THE MAILING T	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 D	<u>ecember 2005</u> .					
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposit	ion of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1,2 and 6 is/are pending in the application of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1,2 and 6 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.					
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
,	The drawing(s) filed on 29 January 2004 is/are:		to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		•				
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
2) Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date <u>08/29/05</u> .	6) Other:	atent Application (FTO-192)				

Art Unit: 3733

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kenna (EPO 0421485).

Kenna discloses a method for fusing a first vertebra to a second adjacent vertebra comprising providing an implant comprising a body having first and second opposite surfaces (see figures 2-3), wherein each of the surfaces includes at least one protruding member, 5, for securing the body to an adjacent vertebra, each protruding member of the implant having a profile including a generally arcuate portion that encompasses more than one hundred and eighty degrees, and each of the surfaces and protruding members includes a bioactive coating, 7 (see figures 1-4). In addition, each member is disposed about an axis of symmetry lying on the corresponding surface such that each member protrudes beyond the height of the corresponding surface along the axis of symmetry (see figures 2-3).

The method further includes forming at least one keyway in the first vertebra corresponding to each of the at least one protruding members on the first surface and at least one keyway in the second vertebra corresponding to each of the at least one

Application/Control Number: 10/767,637

Art Unit: 3733

protruding members on the second surface, wherein each keyway has a profile including a generally arcuate portion that encompasses more than one hundred and eighty degrees; and inserting the implant between the first vertebrae and the second vertebra in a manner so that each protruding member slides into the corresponding keyway, such that fusion of the vertebrae is achieved without a bone graft (see figure 4 and column 4, lines 21-22, and column 6, lines 4-17).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over by Kenna (EPO 0421485).

Kenna discloses the claimed method except for having a plurality of protruding members with corresponding keyways. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to construct the implant device of Kenna having a plurality of protruding members with two corresponding keyways, since it has been held that mere duplication of the essential working parts of a device involves only routine skill in the art. *St. Regis Paper Co. v. Bemis Co.*, 193 USPQ 8.

Conclusion

Page 4

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. See PTO 892 for art cited of interest.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Annette R. Reimers whose telephone number is (571) 272-7135. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eduardo Robert can be reached on (571) 272-4719. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

FRIMARY EXAMINET

AR AL